

1 ERIC GRANT  
2 United States Attorney  
3 DAVID SPENCER  
4 HADDY ABOUZEID  
5 Assistant United States Attorney  
501 I Street, Suite 10-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Telephone: (916) 554-2700  
Facsimile: (916) 554-2900

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
7 United States of America

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 JIOVANNI ELEAZAR PACO,

14 Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:23-CR-214-WBS

STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE  
TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;  
FINDINGS AND ORDER

DATE: November 10, 2025

TIME: 9:00 a.m.

COURT: Hon. William B. Shubb

16 STIPULATION

17 1. This matter was set for status conference before the Honorable William B. Shubb on  
18 November 10, 2025. Time has been excluded through and including November 10, 2025.

19 2. By this stipulation, the parties request to continue the status conference to **February 9,**  
20 **2026, at 10:00 a.m.**, and to exclude time between November 10, 2025, and February 9, 2026, under  
21 Local Code T4.

22 3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:

23 a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case  
24 includes investigative reports and related documents in electronic form including over 4,698  
25 pages of documents, numerous photographs, lab reports, criminal history reports, multiple video  
26 and audio recordings, and other material. All of this discovery has been either produced directly  
27 to counsel and/or made available for inspection.

b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to consult with his client, review the charges, conduct investigation and research related to the charges, review the discovery, discuss potential resolutions with his client, prepare pretrial motions, and otherwise prepare for trial.

c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

d) The government does not object to the continuance.

e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.

f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of November 10, 2025 to February 9, 2026, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

## IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: November 4, 2025

ERIC GRANT  
United States Attorney

By: /s/ DAVID W. SPENCER  
DAVID W. SPENCER  
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: November 4, 2025

/s/ MICHAEL E. HANSEN  
MICHAEL E. HANSEN  
Counsel for Defendant  
Jiovanni Eleazar Paco

## ORDER

IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED.

Dated: November 6, 2025

WILLIAM B. SHUBB  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE